

# Syringe Filter Suitability for Sample Preparation in Drug Assays

# **Application Note**

Small Molecule Pharmaceuticals & Generics

#### **Authors**

Limian Zhao and William Long Agilent Technologies, Inc.

#### **Abstract**

Agilent Captiva Premium syringe filters were tested thoroughly for sample preparation in drug assays. The potential impact on drug binding through filtration was evaluated based on analyte recovery. Five representative drugs were selected, covering a wide variety of chemical and physical properties and drug binding propensity. All of the tests were performed following USP (United States Pharmacopeia) methods. The results demonstrated that, with appropriate selection of filtration membrane based on target analyte and sample medium, Agilent Captiva Premium syringe filters provided excellent recovery for a wide variety of compound structures and chemistries.



# Introduction

Sample preparation for the analysis of drug products involves dissolving formulations in solutes or media, followed by sample centrifugation or filtration, and then injection into an analytical instrument, usually HPLC. The purpose of sample centrifugation or filtration is to remove nondissolved particulates prior to HPLC analysis, because particulates can interfere with the resulting chromatography, plugging the column, and subsequently causing column failure [1].

Compared to centrifugation, sample filtration saves time, cost and labor, and provides equivalent or better efficiency in particulate removal. Sample filtration prior to LC injection protects columns from the impacts of particulates, extends column lifetime and reduces HPLC instrument downtime [2]. The major concern with filtration is the potential adsorption of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) during the process, which results in lower assay values or even out-of-specification results. Another concern is the introduction of extractables to the samples from the filters, which interfere with the chromatographic separation, causing difficult peak integration and even false results.

From both qualification and quantitation aspects, unwanted drug adsorption and the presence of extractables resulting from sample filtration during routine pharmaceutical drug analysis can be a serious problem. Agilent syringe filters are tested thoroughly for cleanliness and are supplied with either HPLC or LC/MS certificates demonstrating that they are entirely or largely free of detectable extractables during filtration [3].

Analyte binding is usually caused by the interaction of the filter membrane and target compounds. Target compound physical properties, chemical structure, ionization state, and molecular weight, as well as product formulations, can affect these interactions. Properties of the membrane also

contribute to interactions, such as the membrane polymer's chemical structure, hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity, polymer formulation and purity, and so forth. Selecting an appropriate membrane is related to the properties of the target analyte. However, it is expected that membrane selectivity for target analytes is less specific, and so certain filters can be used for a wider variety of targets. The less selective the membrane for the analyte, the broader the range of applications that the filter can be used for, with no or minimal concern related to adsorption.

Sample medium is another factor that can impact potential adsorption, as it can directly affect compound solubility and membrane wettability. The selection of appropriate filters also depends on the sample medium and the filter membrane's chemical compatibility.

- For aqueous samples, hydrophilic-type membranes are preferred, such as cellulose acetate (CA) or polyethersulfone (PES).
- For organic samples, especially with aggressive solvents, polypropylene (PP) or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filters should be used.
- For mixtures of organic and aqueous samples, PTFE, PP, nylon, regenerated cellulose (RC), and PES filters can be used, according to the percentage of aqueous/organic solvent.

This application note focuses on the evaluation of Agilent Captiva Premium syringe filters for adsorption of APIs. A variety of compounds was selected with different drugbinding properties. A wide range of sample media was used to evaluate the elution profile for different membrane types. Six types of popular syringe filters were tested, including nylon, glass fiber prefilter/nylon (GF/Nylon), RC, PES, PTFE, and GF/PTFE filters. Based on the sample medium of each drug, four different kinds of filters were evaluated for each drug.

Table 1. Molecular and structural information of tested drugs [4-8].

Drug product (brand name)	Molecule type	Molecular structure	Structural features	MW and pKa
Acetaminophen tablets (Tylenol, 500 mg/tablet)	Acetamide	HO O	Single aromatic ring, a more planar structure	MW 151.17 pKa 9.38 Log P 0.46
Ibuprofen tablets (Advil, 200 mg/tablet)	A propionic acid derivative	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> 0	Single aromatic ring, flexible flat structure	MW 206.28 pKa 4.91 Log 3.97
Ranitidine HCl tablets (Zantac, 84 mg/tablet)	Hydrochloride salt of a base	CH <sub>3</sub>	Single aromatic ring, flexible flat structure	MW 350.87 (salt) MW 314.40 (base) pKa 8.08 Log P 0.27
Simvastatin tablets (Zocor, 10 mg/tablet)	Highly nonpolar compound with a lactone and ester	HO O O CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Nonaromatic polycyclic rings, rigid and distinct 3-D structure	MW 418.57 pKa 4.7 Log P 4.68
Loratadine tablets (Claritin, 10 mg/tablet)	Piperidine carbamate	CI	Multiple aromatic rings, rigid and distinct 3-D structure	MW 382.88 pKa 4.8 Log P 5.20

# **Experimental**

#### **Chemicals and reagents**

Five drugs and their properties are listed in Table 1. These drugs were purchased from local pharmacy stores.

Simvastatin USP reference standard was purchased from Rockwell Compounding Associates, Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA). Ibuprofen, acetaminophen, ranitidine HCI, and loratadine USP-level standards and other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). HPLC-grade acetonitrile (ACN) and methanol (MeOH) were from Honeywell (Muskegon, MI, USA).

#### **Solutions and standards**

Buffers, mobile phases and dissolving/diluting solutions were prepared as follows.

**Acetaminophen:** The mobile phase was made by combining 500 mL MeOH with 1,500 mL Milli-Q water. The mobile phase solution was also used for the dissolving/diluting solution.

**Ibuprofen:** The buffer (pH 4.0) was made by dissolving 10.0 g chloroacetic acid in 900 mL water, adjusting with ammonium hydroxide to pH 4.0, and then diluting to 1 L with water. The mobile phase was made by combining 1,200 mL ACN with 800 mL buffer 4.0. The dissolving/diluting solution was made by dissolving 105 mg valerophenone into 300 mL of the mobile phase solution.

Ranitidine HCI: The ammonium acetate solution (0.1 M) was made by dissolving 3.85 g ammonium acetate into 500 mL Milli-Q water. The mobile phase was made by combining 1,700 mL MeOH with 300 mL 0.1 M ammonium acetate buffer. This solution was also used as the dissolving/diluting solution.

Simvastatin: The buffer (pH 4.5) was made by dissolving 3.9 g monobasic sodium phosphate in 900 mL water, adjusting to pH 4.5 with 5 N NaOH or concentrated phosphoric acid, and then diluting to 1 L with water. The mobile phase was made by combining 1,300 mL acetonitrile and 700 mL pH 4.5 buffer. The buffer (pH 4.0) was made by adding 3.0 mL glacial acetic acid to 900 mL water, adjusting with 5 N NaOH solution to pH 4.0, and then diluting with water to 1 L. The dissolving/diluting solution was made by combining 800 mL acetonitrile and 200 mL pH 4.0 buffer.

**Loratadine:** The dibasic potassium phosphate buffer (0.01 M) was made by dissolving 1.74 g anhydrous  $K_2HPO_4$  into 900 mL Milli-Q water, adjusting to pH 7.2 with concentrated phosphoric acid. The mobile phase was made by combining 600 mL MeOH, 600 mL ACN and 800 mL 0.01 M  $K_2HPO_4$  buffer (pH 7.2). The HCl solution (0.05 N) was made by adding 41.5 mL HCl to a 500 mL volumetric flask containing approximately 400 mL water, and then diluting to volume with water. The  $K_2HPO_4$  buffer (0.6 M) was made by dissolving 10.5 g of  $K_2HPO_4$  to 100 mL water. The dissolving/diluting solution was made by adding 400 mL 0.05 M HCL solution and 80 mL 0.6 M  $K_2HPO_4$  buffer to a 1 L volumetric flask, and diluting to volume with a mixture of 1:1 MeOH:ACN.

Drug standard solutions were prepared by dissolving accurately weighed amounts of standard powder into known volumes of dissolving/diluting solution. The standard solutions were then ready for HPLC injection. Table 2 shows detailed information of drug standards and sample preparation.

# **Sample preparation**

The active ingredient concentrations in drug samples should be equivalent to concentrations in the corresponding standard solutions. Based on the active ingredient content in drug tablets, the drug assay sample solutions were prepared following the procedures in Table 2. The final drug samples were either centrifuged or filtered prior to HPLC injection.

Table 2. Drug standards and sample preparation [9-13].

Drug compound	Acetaminophen	Ibuprofen	Ranitidine HCI	Simvastatin	Loratadine
Dissolving/diluting solution (DDS)	1:3 MeOH:water	60:40 ACN:pH 4.0 buffer with 0.35 mg/mL valerophenone (IS)	85:15 MeOH:0.1 M ammonium acetate	80:20 ACN:pH 4.0 buffer	26:26:48 MeOH:ACN:buffer
Active ingredient testing concentration (mg/mL)	0.01	12	0.112	0.1	0.4
Standard solution preparation	Accurately weigh     approximately 1 mg of     standard powder	Accurately weigh approximately 120 mg of standard powder	Accurately weigh approximately 1.12 mg of standard powder	Accurately weigh approximately 1 mg of standard powder	Accurately weigh     approximately 1 mg of     standard powder
	Dissolve in calculated volume of DDS (approximately 10 mL)	volume of DDS	volume of DDS	volume of DDS	Dissolve in calculated volume of DDS (approximately 2.5 mL)
	<ol><li>Dilute 1 mL of the above solution 10 time with DDS</li></ol>	es			
Drug tablets	Tylenol, 500 mg/tablet	Advil, 200 mg/tablet	Zantac, 84 mg/tablet	Zocor, 10 mg/tablet	Claritin, 10 mg/tablet
Drug tablet assay sample preparation	1. Dissolve 10 tablets with DDS to 500 mL	Dissolve 15 tablets with DDS to 250 mL	1. Dissolve 10 tablets with DDS to 250 mL	1. Dissolve 10 tablets with DDS to 250 mL	Dissolve 10 tablets with DDS to 250 mL
	2. Dilute 1 mL of the above solution with DDS to 1,000 mL		2. Dilute 16.67 mL with DDS to 500 mL	2. Dilute 25 mL with DDS to 100 mL	S

#### Instrumentation

USP methods were adapted to use Agilent Poroshell 120 columns. In each case, allowed modifications were implemented. The HPLC system was an Agilent 1200 Infinity Series with a DAD SL detector.

Table 3 lists HPLC conditions for each drug. Since 2.7  $\mu$ m Poroshell 120 columns were used in these drug tests, and given that 0.45  $\mu$ m syringe filters have been demonstrated to efficiently protect these type of columns [2], all the syringe filters used in this study had 0.45  $\mu$ m membranes, and were 25 mm in diameter.

# **Agilent supplies**

Vials: Vial caps: Syringe: Captiva Premium

syringe filters:

Amber, write-on spot, 100/pk (p/n 5182-0716) Blue, screw cap, 100/pk (p/n 5182-0717) 10 mL, 100/pk (p/n 9301-6474)

Nylon (p/n 5190-5093)

PTFE (p/n 5190-5087) regenerated cellulose (p/n 5190-5111) polyethersulfone (p/n 5190-5099)

Captiva Premium layered syringe filters:

Glass fiber prefilter/nylon (p/n 5190-5135) glass fiber prefilter/PTFE

Table 3. HPLC instrument conditions [9-13].

	Acetaminophen	Ibuprofen	Ranitidine HCI	Simvastatin	Loratadine
HPLC column	Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 4.6 × 75 mm, 2.7 μm (p/n 697975-902)	Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 4.6 × 75 mm, 2.7 μm (p/n 697975-902)	Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 4.6 × 75 mm, 2.7 μm (p/n 697975-902)	Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 4.6 × 75 mm, 2.7 µm (p/n 697975-902)	Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C8, 4.6 × 50 mm, 2.7 µm (p/n 699975-906)
Mobile phase	1:3 MeOH:water	60:40 ACN:pH 4.0 buffer	85:15 MeOH:0.1 M ammonium acetate	65:35 ACN:pH 4.5 buffer	30:30:40 Me0H:ACN:0.01 M K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> buffer pH 7.2
Flow rate, column temperature, gradient	1.5 mL/min, room temperature, isocratic	2.0 mL/min, room temperature, isocratic	1.5 mL/min, room temperature, isocratic	1.5 mL/min, 45 °C, isocratic	1.2 mL/min, 35 °C, isocratic
UV detection (nm)	243	254	322	238	254
Injection volume (µL)	10	1	4	4	1

#### Sample collection

Four types of Captiva Premium syringe filters were selected for testing, all 0.45 µm pore size and 25 mm diameter. Three replicates were performed for each type of filter. A total volume of 20 mL of sample was filtered, and the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, and 20<sup>th</sup> 1 mL aliquots were collected. Samples (5 mL) were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 minutes.

#### **Results and Discussion**

For each drug compound, the results of standard solution and drug product samples (by centrifugation or filtration) were collected for comparison. As the standard solutions and corresponding drug samples were prepared with theoretically identical concentrations, the comparison of responses, either the peak area or area ratio, represent the recovery of active ingredients in the drug products. Absolute recovery and relative recovery were then calculated based on the comparison.

Absolute recovery is the comparison of centrifuged or filtered drug samples to the corresponding compound standard, using either peak area or area ratio.

Relative recovery is the comparison of filtered drug sample to the centrifuged drug sample, using either peak area or area ratio. According to USP criteria, absolute recovery should be  $100\pm10\%$  [9-13]. This criterion includes the drug products label-claimed percentage deviation and any additional deviations caused by the processing of the drug samples. The purpose of this application note was to evaluate whether filtering drug products would cause loss of analytes, that is, the sample process deviations. So we were more interested in relative recovery. As centrifugation is a traditional method, which usually would not cause concern of sample loss during processes, it was assumed to provide 100% process recovery. Therefore, we set the relative recovery acceptance criteria as  $100\pm1\%$  to demonstrate the lack of risk in using filtration, as compared to centrifugation.

#### Acetaminophen

Acetaminophen, also known as paracetamol, is commonly used for its analgesic and antipyretic effects. The drug sample medium is 25:75 MeOH/water. The recovery using centrifugation as the sample process gave an average of 101.4% absolute recovery (n = 10). Table 4 shows the recovery results by filtration.

The results showed that when using nylon and glass/fiber nylon filters, the first 1 mL of filtrate can experience a small loss when the membrane is dry. So we recommend discarding the first 1 mL of filtrate when using nylon filters. It is not necessary to discard any filtrate when using RC and PES filters.

Table 4. Filtration recoveries of acetaminophen (n = 3).

	Nylon filter			Nylon/GF filter			RC filter			PES Filter		
	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery		RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery		
1st mL	98.3	97.0	0.47	94.4	93.1	1.34	101.0	99.6	0.00	100.8	99.4	0.17
$2^{nd}$ mL	101.0	99.6	0.52	100.5	99.1	0.17	101.1	99.7	0.35	101.1	99.7	0.17
$3^{rd}$ mL	101.1	99.7	0.17	101.3	99.9	0.30	101.2	99.8	0.17	101.0	99.6	0.30
5 <sup>th</sup> mL	100.9	99.5	0.17	101.4	100.0	0.17	101.5	100.1	0.35	101.1	99.7	0.17
$10^{th}$ mL	101.0	99.6	0.00	101.3	99.9	0.00	101.4	100.0	0.17	101.3	99.9	0.30
$15^{th}$ mL	101.3	99.9	0.00	101.3	99.9	0.00	101.4	100.0	0.35	101.5	100.1	0.46
$20^{th}  mL$	101.0	99.6	0.30	101.3	99.9	0.00	101.3	99.9	0.00	101.3	99.9	0.30

# **Ibuprofen**

Ibuprofen, a propionic acid derivative, is a prototypical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAIA) with analgesic and antipyretic properties. The drug sample medium is 60:40 ACN:aqueous buffer. The recovery using centrifugation provided an average of 100.1% absolute recovery (n = 10). The recovery results by filtration in Table 5 show that excellent results were achieved for all types of Captiva Premium syringe filters, and that filtrate can be collected for analysis right away.

#### **Ranitidine HCI**

Ranitidine HCl is a nonimidazole blocker of the histamine receptors that mediate gastric secretion ( $\rm H_2$  receptors). The drug sample medium is 85:15 MeOH:aqueous buffer. The recovery results by using centrifugation gave out an average of 95.6% absolute recovery (n = 10). This result was reinforced by the filtration absolute recoveries, which indicated that the drug product contains approximately 4% less active ingredient. However, the excellent and consistent relative recoveries demonstrate that filtration is fully comparable to centrifugation without concern of analyte loss during filtration (Table 6).

Table 5. Filtration recoveries of ibuprofen (n = 3).

	PTFE filter			PTFE/GF filter			Nylon filter			RC Filter		
	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD									
1st mL	99.9	99.8	0.17	99.9	99.7	0.26	100.1	99.9	0.32	100.1	100.0	0.04
$2^{nd}$ mL	100.0	99.9	0.19	100.2	100.1	0.08	100.2	100.0	0.17	100.4	100.3	0.11
$3^{rd}  mL$	100.0	99.8	0.22	100.0	99.8	0.38	100.3	100.2	0.23	100.1	100.0	0.14
$5^{th}$ mL	100.0	99.9	0.12	99.9	99.8	0.26	99.9	99.8	0.36	99.9	99.8	0.28
$10^{th}$ mL	100.1	99.9	0.16	100.0	99.8	0.13	100.2	100.1	0.18	100.3	100.2	0.18
$15^{th}$ mL	100.1	100.0	0.18	99.9	99.8	0.04	100.2	100.0	0.32	100.2	100.0	0.18
$20^{\text{th}}\text{mL}$	99.9	99.8	0.19	100.0	99.8	0.16	100.1	100.0	0.35	100.0	99.9	0.07

Table 6. Filtration recoveries of ranitidine HCI (n = 3).

	PTFE filter		PTFE/GF filter			Nylon filter			RC Filter			
	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD
1 <sup>st</sup> mL	95.6	100.0	0.24	95.7	100.1	0.08	96.0	100.4	0.00	96.0	100.4	0.24
$2^{nd}  mL$	95.9	100.2	0.21	96.0	100.4	0.14	96.2	100.6	0.00	96.0	100.4	0.29
$3^{rd} mL$	95.9	100.2	0.08	95.9	100.3	0.16	95.9	100.3	0.16	96.1	100.5	0.21
$5^{th}$ mL	95.8	100.2	0.16	96.0	100.4	0.08	99.8	100.2	0.08	96.1	100.5	0.16
$10^{th}$ mL	95.9	100.3	0.24	95.9	100.2	0.08	95.9	100.3	0.28	96.0	100.4	0.14
$15^{th}$ mL	95.7	100.1	0.29	95.9	100.3	0.21	96.0	100.4	0.21	95.9	100.3	0.14
$20^{th}$ mL	95.7	100.1	0.08	96.0	100.4	0.14	96.0	100.4	0.21	96.0	100.4	0.08

#### **Simvastatin**

Simvastatin is a derivative of lovastatin and a potent competitive inhibitor of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase. The drug sample medium is 65:35 ACN:aqueous buffer. The average absolute recovery was 97.1% (n = 10) by centrifugation, showing approximately 3% less of active ingredient in the drug product. The relative recoveries, however, showed excellent and consistent recoveries through filtration (Table 7).

#### Loratadine

Loratadine is a derivative of azatadine and a second-generation histamine H1 receptor antagonist used in the treatment of allergic rhinitis and urticaria. The drug sample medium is 30:30:40 ACN:MeOH:aqueous buffer. The average absolute recovery was 94.8% (n = 10) by centrifugation, showing approximately 5% less of active ingredient in the drug product. The relative recoveries, however, showed excellent and consistent recoveries through filtration (Table 8).

Table 7. Filtration recoveries of simvastatin (n = 3).

	PTFE filter			PTFE/GF filter			Nylon filter			RC Filter		
	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD									
1 <sup>st</sup> mL	97.1	100.0	0.49	96.8	99.6	1.01	98.0	100.9	0.33	96.3	99.1	0.62
$2^{nd}$ mL	96.5	99.4	0.90	97.6	100.5	0.46	97.7	100.6	0.42	96.4	99.3	0.45
$3^{rd}  mL$	97.8	100.7	0.15	96.4	99.3	1.10	97.9	100.8	0.46	96.4	99.3	0.39
$5^{th} mL$	97.6	100.5	0.95	96.6	99.5	1.01	97.9	100.7	0.65	96.8	99.6	0.55
$10^{th}  mL$	97.6	100.5	0.60	97.1	100.0	0.58	97.8	100.7	0.78	96.4	99.6	0.42
$15^{th}$ mL	97.6	100.5	0.08	97.4	100.3	1.35	97.8	100.7	0.71	96.9	99.8	0.29
$20^{\text{th}}\text{mL}$	97.3	100.2	0.44	96.8	99.6	0.53	97.4	100.3	0.45	96.6	99.4	0.51

Table 8. Filtration recoveries of loratadine (n = 3).

	PTFE filter			PTFE/GF filter			Nylon filter			RC Filter		
	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD	Absolute recovery		RSD	Absolute recovery	Relative recovery	RSD
1st mL	95.0	100.2	0.84	95.3	100.6	0.48	95.1	100.3	0.23	95.2	100.4	0.48
$2^{nd}  mL$	95.3	100.6	0.77	94.6	99.8	1.37	95.0	100.3	0.53	95.4	100.7	0.26
$3^{rd}  mL$	94.7	100.0	0.71	95.2	100.5	0.31	95.3	100.5	0.40	95.0	100.3	0.38
$5^{th}$ mL	95.1	100.4	0.54	95.0	100.2	0.15	94.8	100.0	0.31	95.4	100.7	0.30
$10^{th}  mL$	94.6	99.8	0.66	95.2	100.4	0.61	94.9	100.1	0.57	95.8	100.1	0.82
15 <sup>th</sup> mL	94.9	100.2	0.46	95.0	100.2	0.52	95.5	100.8	0.83	94.9	100.1	1.09
20th mI	94 7	100 0	0.09	94 6	99.8	0.15	95.3	100 5	0.30	95.2	100 4	0.38

All Captiva Premium filters were suitable for the assay of the drug products. Only nylon and glass fiber/nylon filters gave slightly lower recovery for the very first 1 mL of filtrate when filtering acetaminophen, indicating minor adsorption of this drug when the nylon membrane was dry. However, excellent recoveries were obtained for the subsequent filtrate after the first 1 mL, which demonstrated that a wetted membrane would not cause adsorption. Although excellent recoveries were obtained for all the other filters for the other drug tests, it was worthwhile discarding the first 1 mL of filtrate to ensure accuracy. Given this recommendation, all filtrate samples were retested, with the first 1 mL of filtrate discarded. The 2<sup>nd</sup> 1 mL to 20<sup>th</sup> 1 mL of filtrate were combined (approximately 6 mL in total). The mixed filtrate sample was then retested on HPLC. Triplicate tests were performed for each drug and each filter, and Table 9 shows the results. Only relative recovery data are shown as they reflect more appropriately filtration impact.

#### **Conclusions**

Membrane, sample matrix, and drug chemistry can affect the potential adsorption of drug products during filtration, and so selection of the appropriate filters (membrane type, membrane pore size, and filter size) is critical to achieve accurate and precise results. This application note showed that it may be necessary to discard the initial filtrate to ensure accuracy. Agilent Captiva Premium syringe filters with PTFE, nylon, regenerated cellulose, and PES membranes, with and without glass fiber prefilters, demonstrate excellent recoveries, and compatibility with centrifugation, for a variety of drug assay sample preparations.

Table 9. Filtration recoveries of drug and Agilent Captiva Premium syringe filters (n=3).

		Acetamino	phen	lbuprofe	Ibuprofen		Ranitidine		Simvastatin		Loratadine	
Syringe filter	Discarded volume (mL)	Mean relative recovery (%)	% RSD	Mean relative recovery (%)	% RSD	Mean relative recovery (%)	% RSD	Mean relative recovery (%)	% RSD	Mean relative recovery (%)	% RSD	
PTFE	1	N/A		99.9	0.2	100.2	0.2	100.3	0.5	100.2	0.5	
GF/PTFE	1	N/A		99.9	0.2	100.3	0.1	99.9	0.8	N/A		
Nylon	1	99.6	0.2	100.0	0.3	100.3	0.2	100.6	0.6	100.2	0.5	
GF/Nylon	1	99.8	0.1	N/A		N/A		N/A		100.4	0.5	
RC	1	99.9	0.2	100.0	0.2	100.4	0.2	99.5	0.4	100.6	0.5	
PES	1	99.8	0.3	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		

N/A = not tested

# References

1. R. E. Majors. LC:GC (January 1, 2012)

http://www.chromatographyonline.com/lcgc/article/articleDetail.jsp?id=755108.

- 2. L. Zhao. Syringe Filter Filtration Efficiency and Impact on LC Column Life. Application note, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Publication number 5991-1309EN (2012).
- 3. Anon. Agilent Captiva Syringe Filters. Raise Your Sample Filtration Standards. Brochure, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Publication number 5991-1230EN (2013).
- 4. http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00316
- 5. http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01050
- 6. http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00863
- 7. http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00641
- 8. http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00455
- 9. USP Monographs: Acetaminophen Tablets http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m200.ht

USP Monographs: Acetaminophen Capsules

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m160.html#usp29nf24s0\_m160

10. USP Monographs: Ibuprofen

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m39860.

htm

USP monographs: Ibuprofen Tablets

 $http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m39890.\\ html$ 

11. USP Monographs: Ranitidine Tablets

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m73048.

html

USP Monographs: Ranitidine Hydrochloride

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m73040.html

12. USP Monographs: Simvastatin Tablets

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m75460.

html

USP Monographs: Simvastatin.

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m75450.html

13. USP Monographs: Loratadine Tablets

 $http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m45896.$ 

html

USP Monographs: Loratadine.

http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m45894.

html

### For More Information

These data represent typical results. For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem.

# www.agilent.com/chem

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc., 2013 Printed in the USA May 14, 2013 5991-2409EN

